

**LAKE MINNETONKA CONSERVATION DISTRICT
BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

7:00 PM, Wednesday, May 25, 2005
Wayzata City Hall

CALL TO ORDER

Skramstad called the meeting to order at 7:03 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Members present: Tom Skramstad, Shorewood; Katy Van Hercke, Minnetonka; Doug Babcock, Tonka Bay; John Berns, Wayzata; David Gross, Deephaven; Steve Johnson, Mound; Pete Nelson, Victoria; Tom Scanlon, Spring Park; Tom Seuntjens, Minnetonka Beach; Herb Suerth, Woodland. Also present: Charles LeFevre, LMCD Counsel; Greg Nybeck, Executive Director; Judd Harper, Administrative Technician; Emily Herman, Administrative Secretary.

Members absent: Paul Knudsen, Minnetrista; Jose Valdesuso, Excelsior; Cree Zischke, Greenwood; the City of Orono has no appointed member.

CHAIR ANNOUNCEMENTS, Chair Skramstad

- Skramstad stated that the annual Board Lake Inspection Tour generally takes place in June and that there was a need to schedule a date.

The Board discussed this and the consensus was to conduct the annual Board Lake Inspection Tour on June 15, 2005 during the evening hours. Further details will be provided in the near future.

- Skramstad stated that there had been a request to conduct a Board Planning/Workshop Session to discuss a variety of topics with the commercial marinas. He asked Nybeck for background on this.

Nybeck stated that some of the commercial marinas have requested a meeting with the LMCD Board of Directors. He suggested that this discussion would be more appropriate for a Planning/Workshop Session rather than a Regular Board Meeting.

The Board discussed this request and the consensus was to conduct a Planning/Workshop Session at 5:30 p.m. prior to the June 22, 2005 LMCD Regular Board Meeting.

READING OF MINUTES- 4/27/05 LMCD Regular Board Meeting
5/11/05 LMCD Regular Board Meeting

MOTION: Nelson moved, Gross seconded to approve the minutes from the 4/27/05 LMCD Regular Board Meeting as submitted.

VOTE: Ayes (10), Abstained (2; Babcock and Suerth); motion carried.

MOTION: Van Hercke moved, Scanlon seconded to approve the minutes from the 5/11/05 LMCD Regular Board Meeting as submitted.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

PUBLIC COMMENTS - Persons in attendance, subjects not on agenda (5 min.)

There were no comments from the public on subjects not on the agenda.

CONSENT AGENDA- Consent agenda items identified with a (*) will be approved in one motion unless a Board member requests discussion of any item, in which case the item will be removed from the consent agenda.

Babcock moved, Nelson seconded to approve the consent agenda as submitted. Motion carried unanimously. Items so approved include: **1B**, Hennepin County Sheriff's Office Water Patrol Significant Activity Report; **2C**, **2005 Multiple Dock Licenses**, staff recommended Board approval of 2005 renewal, without change, multiple dock license application for Meadowbrook Boat Club, **3A**, Audit of vouchers (5/1/05 - 5/15/05) and (5/16/05 - 5/31/05); and **3B**, April financial summary and balance sheet.

- **Three Rivers Park District**, presentation of "2004 Water Quality of Lake Minnetonka" Report by John Barten.

Skramstad stated that John Barten from the Three Rivers Park District was in attendance to review the "2004 Water Quality of Lake Minnetonka" Report. He welcomed Barten on behalf of the Board and asked for an overview of the 2004 Report

Barten thanked the Board for their continued funding support of the water quality sampling program on Lake Minnetonka. He provided an overview of the key charts provided in the 2004 Water Quality of Lake Minnetonka Report. During his overview of these charts, the Board asked a number of questions that Barten responded to.

Skramstad thanked Barten for the fine work conducted by Three Rivers Park District on this project over the years.

Nybeck stated that the LMCD contributed \$3,000 from the "Save the Lake" Budget for this project in 2004. The Three Rivers Park District has made the same funding request for "Save the Lake" funds in 2005, adding that the draft 2005 "Save the Lake" Budget was on the agenda later in the evening. He questioned whether the Board would like to discuss the Three Rivers Park District 2005 funding request at this time or to take it up later in the meeting when the draft 2005 "Save the Lake" Budget was discussed.

The consensus of the Board was to consider the request during the discussion of the draft 2005 "Save the Lake" Budget.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

- **Tim Burke**, variance application from LMCD Code for dock length and side setback requirements at 19820 Cottagewood Road.

Skramstad opened the public hearing at 7:41 p.m. and asked Harper for the background.

Harper reviewed the staff memo dated 5/20/05, which summarized a dock length variance application submitted by Tim Burke of 19820 Cottagewood Road, with a proposed particular hardship of emergent vegetation. He stated that the dock would extend approximately 627' from the 929.4' NGVD shoreline to reach open, navigable water, with two proposed 6' x 20' watercraft on approximately 176' of 929.4' shoreline at the site. He believed that the applicant has proposed a justified particular hardship of emergent vegetation and the Board could direct LeFevere to prepare Findings of Fact and Order to approve the dock length variance application. He entertained questions or comments from the Board.

The Board discussed a number of issues relating to the Burke variance application. Some of these Issues included:

- Whether a 20' long boat could be stored within a six foot wide space.
- Whether it was feasible to encourage residents in this area to combine docks, rather than having individual docks, to minimize the impact on the emergent vegetation.
- Whether the dock to be installed was seasonal and whether it would be installed year round.
- Whether the re-platting of the abutting property to the west impacted their dock use area, and if a variance for this site should be considered at this time.
- What precedent has been set in this part of Carsons Bay because of previously approved dock length variances by the LMCD.

Nybeck stated that a concern that he and Harper had was that it appeared that some of the previously approved variances do not have dock installations and boat storage consistent with their approved variance orders.

Mr. Tim Burke, 19820 Cottagewood Road, stated he had lived at this residence since 1980. At one time, there were no docks present on Carsons Bay south of Minnetonka Blvd. A number of years ago, he stated that he had traded some land with the abutting property owner to the west, which was approved by the City of Deephaven. The individual that owned this property has subsequently moved and the current neighbors are not interested in a joint dock situation for both properties.

Mr. Mark Ruffino, 4645 Maple Hill Drive, stated that he was a member of the Maple Hills Association that was approved a dock length variance for a multiple dock facility in the near vicinity. He supported the Burke variance application and recommended that the Board approve it.

There being no further comments, Skramstad closed the public hearing at 8:10 p.m.

MOTION: Suerth moved, Gross seconded to direct the LMCD attorney to prepare Findings of Fact and Order for approval of the Tim Burke dock length variance application.

Babcock proposed three friendly amendments to the draft Findings. These included: 1) that an adjusted dock use area variance is required in addition to a side setback variance, 2) that either one or two watercraft could be stored at the dock, provided they are contained within the dock use area proposed on the survey, and 3) that the variance is only valid as long as the site is not subdivided further. Suerth and Gross agreed to these friendly amendments.

Scanlon questioned how far out side site lines extend into the lake to determine side setback requirements.

Babcock stated that side site lines extend out the same distance into the lake that there is shoreline at the site, with a maximum length of 100' without a variance.

LeFevere asked Babcock to clarify why he believed there was a need for an adjusted dock use area variance.

Babcock stated the trading of land with the abutting property to the west restricted the dock use area for that site based on how the common side site line was re-platted. He suggested that if the Board was inclined to grant a variance for the applicant, it should take this into consideration and adjust the northerly side site line extension so that it reflects what is documented on the proposed site plan. He proposed a friendly amendment that would allow one or two watercraft to be allowed at the docks, provided that they complied with a 20' setback from the southerly extended side site line and they are not stored further than 40' north from the southerly extended side site line. Suerth and Gross agreed to this.

LeFevere stated that friendly amendment agreed to by Suerth and Gross would reflect that the Board was requiring the dock and boat storage to be in a specific location rather than granting a dock use area variance.

Nybeck questioned whether the Board would like to have the draft Findings, if approved by the Board, registered on the title for this site.

LeFevere questioned whether registering the Findings on the title for this site was necessary because this has historically been required for a site that loses some of their dock use area through an adjusted dock use area variance.

VOTE: Ayes (9) Nays (1, Seuntjens); motion carried.

1. LAKE USE AND RECREATION

A. Upper Minnetonka Yacht Club, consideration of buoy request (previously discussed at the 4/27/05 LMCD Regular Board Meeting).

Skramstad asked Nybeck for background on this item.

Nybeck reviewed the staff memo, dated 5/19/05, which provided a summary of the discussion at the 4/27/05 Board and a summary of the 5/2/05 meeting with Lt. Storms and a representative of the Upper Minnetonka Yacht Club (UMYC). Three buoy options have been identified as possible solutions to the milfoil infestation problems in this area. These include: 1) red and green navigational buoys, 2) red and white mid channel buoys, and 3) yellow milfoil buoys. Nybeck proposed a fourth option for 2005, which does not include the placement of buoys. He stated that he believed the LMCD could provide the UMYC a larger area to be clear cut and/or channel cut to provide further relief than in past years. This option would not prohibit the UMYC from conducting further maintenance work themselves and would allow the LMCD and the Water Patrol to work with the UMYC this summer to further evaluate the situation and possibly take up the buoy request sometime this fall. He entertained questions or comments from the Board.

Van Hercke asked if offering additional harvesting to this yacht club would set a precedent for other yacht clubs or other commercial facilities.

Nybeck stated that additional harvesting is already being done at other yacht clubs and commercial facilities.

Seuntjens stated that he would prefer to conduct additional harvesting in this area, provided that it is reasonable, to see if it alleviates some of the navigational concerns raised by the UMYC. If needed, the Board could consider the request for buoys at a later date. A number of other Board members agreed with the comments made by Seuntjens.

Mr. Scott Colesworthy stated he was the President of the Sailing School and a Board member of the UMYC. He expressed his appreciation for the extra harvesting offered by Nybeck. One of the problems associated with extra harvesting is that it is difficult at times for kids to recognize the channels harvested from the water. He stated that he had hoped to tie this buoy request into the multiple dock license that is obtained. He stated that the UMYC does not believe in chemically treating the area because it is not neighborly. The UMYC would agree to purchase the buoys and install and remove them annually.

The Board briefly discussed a marker system as an option to the use of buoys for delineating a channel area.

Nelson stated that he would not be troubled with the use of milfoil buoys because it would keep boaters out of areas where there are heavy milfoil infestations.

MOTION: Seuntjens moved, Scanlon seconded to: 1) have staff work with the UMYC on addressing the needs of the UMYC through the LMCD's EWM Harvesting Program in 2005, 2) to have Nybeck and the Water Patrol evaluate the milfoil situation during the 2005 boating season, and 3) evaluate the request made by UMYC for buoys at a later date, if needed.

VOTE: Ayes (9) Nays (1, Nelson); motion carried.

C. Chair update of 5/19/05 Lake Minnetonka Boat Density Committee Meeting.

Van Hercke made the following comments:

- The committee met to recap the public input received at the 5/11/05 LMCD Regular Board Meeting. Some of the common themes discussed at this meeting included the possibility of pursuing mandatory boat operator licensing with the State of Minnesota, the need to clarify boat storage ordinances, and enforcing existing ordinances.
- The committee has begun the process of updating the list of considerations and recommendations, with the next committee meeting scheduled for 6/9/05. At this meeting, the committee has extended an invitation to Lt. Storms from the Water Patrol to get feedback from his on the considerations and recommendations being discussed.
- She updated the Board on the financial arrangement with Tom LaForce who has been facilitating the committee meetings. The Board originally agreed to eight sessions and nine have already been conducted. She believed that a total of 12 sessions, or four additional

above the eight that were originally agreed to, would allow the committee to complete its work.

- She recommended that the Board approve up to an additional four work sessions with Tom LaForce to facilitate Lake Minnetonka Boat Density Committee meetings.

MOTION: Van Hercke moved, Skramstad seconded to approve four additional sessions with Tom LaForce to facilitate the Lake Minnetonka Boat Density Committee meetings.

Scanlon expressed concern about the low attendance by committee members at the last meeting.

Babcock stated that at some point, he believed that the Board needs to address the scope of the Committee's responsibilities on the various issues at hand. For example, he questioned whether pursuing mandatory boat operators licensing was under the committee's charge, although he supported the concept.

Skramstad disagreed with Babcock's comments that mandatory boat operator boat licensing is not under the committee's charge.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

D. Additional Business.

There was no additional business.

2. WATER STRUCTURES

A. Ordinance Amendment, first reading of an ordinance relating to decks and docks, amending LMCD Code Section 1.02, Subd. 12; and Section 2.12, Subd. 12.

Skramstad stated that he and Nybeck had recently met with local dock installers, and a MN DNR representative, to discuss LMCD and the State of Minnesota regulations, and to discuss what local dock installers are installing on Lake Minnetonka. The draft Code amendment would change LMCD Code so that it is consistent with the State of Minnesota regulations for maximum dock width, eight feet. He stated that a local dock installer was in attendance and would like to comments on the draft ordinance amendment.

Mr. Jeff Fox, 5270 Howards Point Road, stated he was present and speaking on behalf of Serve a Dock. He believed that the LMCD was attempting to address two things, environmental and safety concerns, and he believed that LMCD might be going the wrong direction. He understood that the State of Minnesota dock regulations were adopted in the past couple of years. He believed that there were 300 to 400 of "L" sections at the end of the dock that are non-conforming and he suggested this was the reason the LMCD was looking to amend the ordinance. He suggested that the LMCD look at boathouses on Lake Minnetonka and he questioned whether the LMCD could adequately enforce the draft ordinance amendment.

Skramstad thanked Mr. Fox for his comments. He stated that if there are issues out there pertaining to boat houses, the Board might want to look into that subject further. He summarized that the Board was considering the draft ordinance amendment to be consistent with State of

Minnesota regulations and to allow the LMCD to conduct some fieldwork in the meantime to investigate how much non-compliance is taking place on Lake Minnetonka.

The Board briefly discussed the draft ordinance amendment. Some of the discussion included:

- Whether there was a need to change the LMCD regulation so that it is consistent with the State of Minnesota?
- Is there a need to educate dock installers to ensure that the docks they install are consistent with LMCD Code?
- Is there a need to add language to the draft ordinance amendment that would prohibit the combining of dock structures to make up a larger structure?
- Is there a need to consider grandfathering dock structures that existed when the original ordinance was adopted?

LeFevere stated that there is already a grandfathered provision in the LMCD Code for decks, which is a structure exceeding length or width, or roofs, lawfully in existence on 5/5/77, provided it may not be structurally altered or expanded.

MOTION: Nelson moved, Gross seconded to approve first reading of the ordinance, to waive second and third readings, and adopt the ordinance.

VOTE: Ayes (7), Nays (3; Babcock, Scanlon, and Van Hercke); motion carried.

- B. Ordinance Amendment**, first reading of an ordinance relating to the regulation of bridges, adding new LMCD Code Section 1.02, Subd. 6A and Section 2.13.

Skramstad asked LeFevere for background on this agenda item.

LeFevere provided a brief historical overview of the draft ordinance amendment, reminding the Board that it was generally in response to the pedestrian and bicycle bridge request in the City of Minnetonka. The draft ordinance amendment was prepared consistent with the discussion at the 4/27/05 Board meeting. He entertained questions or comments from the Board.

The Board briefly discussed the draft ordinance amendment and two changes were proposed. First, Gross recommended that the words "vehicular traffic" in Subd. 2b) be changed to "motor vehicle traffic". Second, Babcock recommended that the word "boats" under Subd. 4e)5) be changed to "watercraft".

MOTION: Babcock moved, Johnson seconded, to approve first reading of the ordinance amendment with the changes recommended by Gross and Babcock, to waive second and third readings, and adopt the ordinance.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

- C. LMCD Code Section 2.09**, discussion of moratorium on deicing installations and possible Code changes.

Skramstad requested Nybeck provide background on this agenda item.

Nybeck reviewed his staff memo, 5/20/05, which summarized the one-year moratorium on deicing installations and three possible LMCD Code changes. These included: 1) the establishing of authorized deicing use areas, 2) whether to change the March 15th no-permit date, and 3) how to deal with seasonal docks versus permanent docks. He stated that he would like to get feedback on these three proposed LMCD Code changes so that a public meeting could be conducted at the 6/22/05 Board meeting to get public input on the proposed changes.

The Board discussed the three LMCD Code changes proposed by Nybeck. The consensus of the Board was for Nybeck to prepare a letter that further defines the LMCD Code to be changed. This letter will be reviewed and finalized at the 6/8/05 Board meeting, it will be sent to all stakeholders, and public input on the proposed changes will be scheduled for the 6/22/05 Board meeting.

E. Additional Business

There was no additional business.

3. FINANCIAL

C. Review of draft 2006 LMCD Budget.

Skramstad requested Nybeck provide background on this item.

Nybeck stated that the draft 2006 LMCD Budget had been prepared and that a Board working session was conducted in the LMCD office on 5/18/05. Board members Knudsen and Suerth attended the meeting, with comments sent in by Board member Seuntjens. At that meeting, Nybeck reviewed the highlights of the draft 2006 LMCD Budget. He stated that the proposed levy in the draft 2006 LMCD Budget would increase approximately six percent compared to the levy in the approved 2005 LMCD Budget. He stated that a working session was scheduled in the LMCD office on 6/3/05 and he was looking for direction from the Board on whether to send out this draft 2006 LMCD Budget or a modified version.

The Board briefly reviewed the draft 2006 LMCD Budget. Four primary areas contributing the levy increase that were discussed included 1) additional funds for part-time temporary service to assist in a more proactive Code enforcement effort to address rental of slips at private residential sites, 2) additional funds for meeting expenses to conduct the LMCD Board meetings at Wayzata City Hall, 3) funding for additional office equipment, with an emphasis on a new copier, and 4) additional zebra mussel expenses. The Board directed Nybeck to send out the draft 2006 LMCD Budget, with a cover letter summarizing these four primary areas, to the 14 LMCD member cities for review and comments.

D. Additional Business.

There was no additional business.

4. ADMINISTRATION

A. Discussion of nominating a new Secretary to fill out the remainder of the term for Jose Valdesuso.

Skramstad stated that Board member Jose Valdesuso had informed him that he would be resigning from the Board because he had accepted employment elsewhere. Because of this, there will be a need to nominate a new Secretary to fill out the remainder of the term through next January. Board member Seuntjens has offered to fill out the remainder of the term and he asked other Board members if they would like to be considered for this Officer position.

Babcock recommended that the Board utilize a process previously used by the Board for nomination of the Officer positions to ensure that it is fair and consistent process. This has historically been conducted when the Board appoints a nominating committee, this nominating committee makes recommendations to the Board, the floor is opened to nominations from the floor, the Board accepts the slate of Officers both from the nominating committee and the floor, and then the Board votes on the slate of Officers.

MOTION: Gross moved, Nelson seconded to nominate Tom Seuntjens as Secretary to fill out the remainder Secretary position through January 2006 due to the resignation from the LMCD Board by Jose Valdesuso.

Babcock asked what was the effective date of the resignation by Valdesuso.

LeFevere stated that the resignation of a Board member needs to be submitted to and accepted by the appointing authority, which in this case is the City of Excelsior. Once the City of Excelsior accepts this resignation, it is likely that they will communicate the effective date of the resignation and possibly the name of the new Board member for the LMCD representing Excelsior.

Babcock proposed a friendly amendment that would make the appointment of Seuntjens effective either upon the resignation or removal of Valdesuso as Secretary. Gross and Nelson agreed to this.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

- B. Consideration of staff recommendation for compensation adjustment for Administrative Secretary, Emily Herman.

Skramstad asked Nybeck for background on this item.

Nybeck made the following comments:

- The second six-month performance appraisal was recently conducted for Emily A. Herman, Administrative Secretary for the LMCD. An overall grade of "Exceeds Expectations" was communicated to Herman for the period of 10/9/04 through 5/20/05. Her anniversary date with the LMCD is 4/19/04.
- Herman has excelled in a number of tasks beyond her current position. For example, she has begun attending Board meetings to begin the minute process. Because of this, he recommended re-classifying her position from Administrative Secretary to Administrative Assistant.
- He reviewed 2003 Stanton Survey information for more experienced office support positions, which had a range from \$14.24 to \$18.24. He believed that the position that Herman was employed for most closely fits with this position.

- He recommended that the Board approve the following: 1) to create an Administrative Assistant position for more experienced office support personnel, with a payscale range from \$14.00 to \$18.00, and 2) to approve a \$.50 per hour adjustment to Herman hourly rate, retroactive to 4/19/05, from \$15.75 to \$16.25.
- He entertained questions or comments from the Board.

MOTION: Suerth moved, Nelson seconded to approve the two recommendations made by Nybeck.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

Skramstad asked Nybeck to pass on his appreciation to Herman for the work that she has performed for the LMCD.

C. Additional Business

There was no additional business.

5. EWM/EXOTICS TASK FORCE

EWM Pontoon Motor

Nybeck stated that the Board approved the purchase of a used 40 h.p. Johnson motor for the pontoon in March. This purchase, including tax and labor, was to not exceed \$3,080. A problem with this motor has come to the LMCD's attention because the shaft is not long enough for the pontoon. Thus, there is a need to purchase another used motor for the pontoon and a quote has been received from Hannays in Minneapolis for a 1999 50 h.p. four stroke Mercury motor. This does not include tax and labor to rig and install the motor, which Tonka Bay Marina has agreed to do. He requested Board approval for this used motor for the pontoon.

MOTION: Suerth moved, Johnson seconded to approve an additional \$1,000 up and above the \$3,080 already approved for the purchase of the 1999 Mercury 50 h.p. four stroke motor from Hannay's.

VOTE: Vote carried unanimously.

Boat Washing Station

Suerth stated that he and Nybeck had recently met with two representatives from Prefix Corporation regarding the concept of installing a fully contained washing station on a pilot basis at a selected public access on Lake Minnetonka. Although he and Nybeck had some interest in the concept, it was communicated to these representatives that the LMCD did not have funds to purchase a wash station, which was estimated at \$50,000 a piece. Suerth questioned whether the Board would like to schedule an agenda item at the 6/8/05 meeting to allow these two representatives to provide an overview of the presentation that he and Nybeck have heard.

The Board briefly discussed this and Nybeck was directed to schedule an agenda item at the 6/8/05 LMCD Regular Board Meeting.

6. SAVE THE LAKE

A. Review of Draft 2005 "STL" Budget.

Babcock stated that he reviewed the 2005 "STL" Budget and concurred with its contents.

Nybeck provided an overview of the draft 2005 "Save the Lake" Budget. This included: 1) the request from the Sheriff's Water Patrol for equipment, 2) the purchase of additional solar lights for the buoy program, 3) funding for the 2005 Three Rivers Park Water Quality Sampling Program, and 4) funding to supplement the 2005 zebra mussel program.

Babcock stated that he would like to address the request from the Sheriff's Water Patrol equipment separate from the remainder of the 2005 "Save the Lake" Budget.

MOTION: Babcock moved, Nelson seconded to approve the 2005 "STL" Budget.

VOTE: Vote carried unanimously.

Babcock stated the Sheriff's Water Patrol had put in a request for \$2,000 of funding, although they were budgeted for \$3,000. He supported continued funding through "Save the Lake" for safety equipment or other equipment that allows the deputies to do their job better. However, he did not support the use of "Save the Lake" to fund equipment that is not consistent with the established funding criteria. He stated that he would contact Lt. Storms and get back to the Board with an amended request for "Save the Lake" funding.

B. Additional Business

There was no additional business.

7. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR REPORT

Nybeck updated the Board on three items. First, the lake level as of 5/23/05 was 929.65, with the dam discharging at 200 c.f.s. Second, a copy of the most recent re-print of the "Summer Rules" for Lake Minnetonka was included in the handout folders. Third, the City of Orono has appointed a new member to the LMCD Board. His name is Andrew McDermott and he believed that he would be meeting with him in the near future.

8. OLD BUSINESS

There was no old business.

9. NEW BUSINESS

Re-Printing of future Summer and Winter Rules

Suetjens requested that the Board have the opportunity to be active in the updating summer and winter rules in the future.

Dock Length Variance

Babcock stated that he would like to have the Board discuss in the future whether there is a need to place a maximum dock length allowed associated with dock length variances granted by the LMCD.

Request of LMCD to Purchase SVHS Tapes for Airing of LMCD Board Meetings in Minnetonka

Van Hercke stated that the City of Minnetonka has an interest in airing LMCD Board meetings to their residents. One problem is that Minnetonka residents have Time Warner cable rather than LMCC cable. To accomplish this, Minnetonka has requested SVHS tapes for the LMCD Board meetings, and neither Minnetonka nor the LMCC want to pay for these tapes. These SVHS tapes are \$9.00 per tapes for two hours, which she estimated there would be a need for three to four tapes per month. She believed that there was a benefit to have the LMCD meetings televised on cable in the City of Minnetonka and she requested that the LMCD authorized this expense.

The Board discussed this request and the consensus was to have Scanlon further check into with the LMCC on whether SVHS tapes were available at the LMCD and report back to Van Hercke.

10. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 10:55 p.m.

Tom Skramstad, Chair

Katy Van Hercke, Vice Chair